Developmental Components of Living I

INTERVENTION PLAN

Name: Diane Makovsky_____

Case number: 1. Kendra

Frame of Reference: Sensory Integration

3 client specific areas appropriate for OT interventions:

- 1. Communication skills
- 2. Social skills
- 3. Fine motor skills

Outcome measures that might be used to evaluate the areas of concern:

- Clinical observation
- Sensory Profile (Baum, Perlmutter, & Dunn, 2005, p. 58).
- Quick Neurological Screening Test II (QNST-II) (Witzen, 2007, p. 276).
- Shore Handwriting Screening for Early Handwriting Development (SHS) (Shore, 2004).
- Beery-Buktenica Developmental Test of Visual Motor Integration, 5th edition (Beery VMI-5) (Brown, & Ainslie, 2007, p. 357).
- Preschool and Kindergarten Behavior Scales, 2nd edition (PKBS-2) (Martin, 2007, p. 475).
- Transdisciplinary Play-Based Assessment (TPBA2) (Leech, 2007, p. 189).
- Play History (1974; originally developed in 1969) (Leech, 2007, p. 183).
- Preschool Play Scale, Revised (1982) (Leech, 2007, p. 185)

List areas of need that might be addressed by OTHER team members (i.e. speech, PT, educational, psychology, etc.):

Area of Need	Team Member
Fundamentals of communication	Speech & language pathologist
To determine whether there are psychological issues that may need to be	Psychologist
addressed	
To aid in (pre)handwriting skills	Teacher or educational aid

Write 1 short term goal/benchmark for EACH OT concern above:

Concern 1: Kendra will respond appropriately using a gesture, pictorial/verbal cue card, or oral response in reply to a direct question from an adult in three out of five opportunities.

Concern 2: Kendra will independently interact with another child in her class or of her age either by providing a response or sharing in either structured or unstructured activities in two out of five occasions.

Concern 3: Kendra will demonstrate the ability to copy horizontal, vertical, or circular strokes with an appropriate writing instrument in one out of two occasions in either classroom or therapy sessions.

Using the chart attached (type into the chart), state two activities you would use to address EACH short term goal/benchmark under the sensory integration frame of reference. Include your rationale for choosing the activity, and how you would grade the activity to be more and less challenging.

* In all instances, ensure that Kendra' arousal level is sufficient to attend to task. If I pick Kendra up in her classroom, then I will have her do a crab walk the last 50 feet to the OT room. If Kendra is brought to the room, then I will have her complete 15 jumps on the trampoline, requesting she count, or count with me.

If she is in good spirits, I will have her sit down by me, in a chair with therabands on the legs, and we will read together the change-the-story book. If I sense she is not quite aroused sufficient to sit to the task, I will either use a bean bag chair, medium input, grade up to letting her sit on the hoppy ball, or wear a vest, if that's easier. A weighted lap pad or vest is possible.

ST Goal	Activity	Rationale	Grade Up	Grade Down
1. Kendra will	Begin by	The use of the	Have Kendra	Use one card at
respond	having Kendra	stability ball is	verbalize her	a time and
appropriately	bounce on a	to increase	preferences	have a
using a gesture,	stability ball.	Kendra's	and responses.	conversation

pictorial/verbal	Then use a	arousal level.		with Kendra.
cue card, or oral	stack of cue	The card	The activity	with Kendra.
response in	cards, with	activity	and questions	For example,
reply to a direct	pictures and	provides lots of	can be made	talk about the
question from an	writing, and ask	sensory stimuli	harder by	picture on the
adult in three out	the child	for interaction,	including more	card. Ease into
of five		,	cards to create	
opportunities.	questions: <i>Which one do</i>	including	a story scenario	asking a
opportunities.		auditory, tactile, and visual	or to increase	question. If no
	you prefer?	stimuli. It	the number of	response, see whether
	Which picture			Kendra will
	has a (named	provides a forum for	possible	
	<i>item) in it?</i> The		choices.	respond to
	response can be	communicating		other requests,
	given by	wants, desires,		such as <i>Would</i>
	pointing to a	and dislikes		you hold the
	card, picking it	(not necessarily		<i>card?</i> Or say:
	up, or	verbalized),		Please hold the
	providing a	(Watling, 2010,		<i>card</i> and give
	verbal answer.	p. 440).		it to her, to
	The cards can			evoke
	be tailored to			response.
	items that			
	Kendra likes,			
	such as favorite			
	story or cartoon			
	characters. In			
	the latter case,			
	ask questions about what			
	happens next.	This activity	A als V andra 4-	Uga gimentan
	Sitting next to	This activity	Ask Kendra to	Use simpler,
	the OT and	aims to increase	verbalize what	possibly larger
	playing a game	cooperation with adults and	object you are	pictures, such as illustrations
	of search, find,		seeking or when she	from Dr. Seuss
	identify in a	peers (Watling, 2010 p. 440)	identifies it.	where there
	picture book, or a book of	2010, p. 440). It provides	identifies It.	
	illustrations	It provides opportunities to	Use smaller	may only be the target
	with hidden	sit together, do	images as the	object on the
	items (e.g. a	hand-over-hand	targets to	•
	< U	activities,	U	page.
	simple version of <i>Where's</i>	verbalize	identify, possibly with	
	Waldo.			
	walao.	(including	more extraneous	
		repeating), use visual skills.	detail.	
		visual skills.	uctall.	

2. Kendra will independently interact with another child in her class or of her age either by providing a response or sharing in either structured or unstructured activities in two out of five occasions.	Animal Walk. The therapist and Kendra will pretend to be animals and walk around the room, following each other, exploring, using sounds to communicate. Social play with the OT to make play- dough animals. This tactile activity will have the OT and Kendra together making the parts of an animal or other item out of	To alert and to build social interaction onto a physical activity The aim is to increase social interaction through collaboration with the OT, that will eventually transfer into social play in other settings (Watling, 2010, p. 440).	The activity can be graded by the amount of interaction demanded. From making an animal noise, such as: <i>go woof</i> , to increasing interaction such as woofing back and forth. Different animals could be used, forcing creative physical, auditory, and verbal interaction. A classmate could come along and replace the OT in the activity.	The activity can be downgraded in several ways, such as dropping verbalization, not relying on the child to select the animal, substituting a simpler activity such as jumping or bouncing. The activity could be downgraded to parallel play, in which the participants are sitting side by side with their own projects and play- dough. Interaction would be less
	play-dough and then combining them to make a whole object.			collaborative.
3. Kendra will demonstrate the ability copy horizontal, vertical, or	Finger painting	To develop pre- handwriting skills	Asking Kendra to switch to use a Utensil or Crayon	Imitating Copying handprints
vertical, or circular strokes with an	Manually turning objects face	To practice manipulation and to increase	Use a variety of grasps patterns to pick	Tracing the outline of objects with an

appropriate writing	up—cards, coins, toys	dexterity through	up items. Add difficulty	index finger.
instrument in one out of two occasions in		practice.	levels to make diverse and tougher games.	Moving the objects around on the table
either classroom or therapy sessions.			Increase the social element by asking her to pick it up	with just a finger.
			and give it to me.	

References

Baum, C., Perlmutter, M., & Dunn, W. (2005). Establishing the integrity of measurement data: Identifying impairments that can limit occupational performance and threaten the validity of assessments. In Law, M., Baum, C., & Dunn, W. (Eds.), *Measuring occupational performance: Supporting best practice in occupational therapy* (pp. 353-419). Thorofare, NJ: Slack Incorporated.

- Brown, T., & Jäckel, A. L. (2007). Perceptual assessments. In Asher, I. E. (Ed.), *Occupational therapy assessment tools: An annotated index* (pp. 353-419).
 Bethesda, MD: AOTA Press.
- Leech, S. W. (2007). Play assessments. In Asher, I. E. (Ed.), *Occupational therapy assessment tools: An annotated index* (pp. 177-191). Bethesda, MD: AOTA Press.
- Martin, L. M. (2007). Assessments of Social and Interaction Skills. In Asher, I. E. (Ed.),
 Occupational therapy assessment tools: An annotated index (pp. 177-191).
 Bethesda, MD: AOTA Press.
- Shore, L. (2004). Shore Handwriting Screening: For Early Handwriting Development. Retrieved from http://www.pearsonassessments.com/HAIWEB/Cultures/enus/Productdetail.htm?Pid=076-1603-131&Mode=summary

- Watling, R. (2010). Interventions and Strategies for Challenging Behaviors. In Case-Smith J., & O'Brien, J. C. (Eds.), *Occupational therapy for children* (pp. 434-445). Maryland Heights, MO: Mosby Inc.
- Witzen, K. L. (2007). Assessments of development. In Asher, I. E. (Ed.), *Occupational therapy assessment tools: An annotated index* (pp. 233-279). Bethesda, MD: AOTA Press.